

Local Work Group development of local EQIP.

Red Lake County District FY06 EQIP

1. List the local resource concerns that EQIP can address:

Grazing Systems

Water Resources-Flooding, Organic, Pest and Nutrient management

Wind and water erosion

Gully erosion and streambank stabilization

2. If applicable, list any geographic regions (i.e. watersheds, townships, etc.) and their respective resource concerns within the District to receive priority:

N/A

3. Prioritize and weight each local resource concern for the district. Weight must be between 1 and 10:

Factor	Resource Priority	Weight
A1. Erosion Control	1	10
A2 Gully Control	7	4
B1 Water Resource	3	6
B2 Wastewater/CNMP	8	2
C Habitat Improvement	4	6
D Air Quality	9	2
E Impaired Water	6	4
F Distance	5	6
G Grazing System	2	8
H Forest Mgt.	10	2
Additional Local*		

- If the additional local concern is scored, describe the concern here and how points will be scored. Include any geographic priorities.

Additional- **612 Tree Establishment 6 pts with a 10 weight factor**

328 Conservation Crop Rotation 4 pts with a 10 weight factor

386 Field Borders 4 pts with a 10 weight factor

340 Cover Crop 4 pts with a 10 weight factor

4. Attach the scoring worksheet as recommended for the district.
5. List any recommended practices to be deleted from the state Conservation Practice Payment Document

The local EQIP program description, cost-share docket changes, and ranking worksheet must be reviewed and approved by the State Conservationist before any EQIP contract is approved and signed.

This document serves as the Local Work Group recommendation for FY 06 EQIP. Attached is a roster of participation in the Local Work Group.

Cari Rebischke Chair, Local Work Group

Date